

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,344 11/10/2		11/10/2003	Hidehiro Saho	36261	5170
116	, 7590	10/19/2005		EXAMINER	
PEARNE &			GEHMAN, BRYON P		
SUITE 1200		EE I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAN	CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			3728	
				DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ن ،						
pplication No.	Applicant(s)							
0/705,344	SAHO, HIDEHIRO							
xaminer	Art Unit							
ryon P. Gehman	3728	·						
on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.							
ne same day as filing a Notice on ng replies: (1) an amendment, a se of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
of the final rejection.								
y Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
NLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
ich the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 ory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any								
ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
It prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because deration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
rresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
. See attached Notice of Non-C The 112 rejections made in the F	inal rejection.							
wable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling						
will not be entered, or b) 🛛 will not be entered, or b) or will will be will	vill be entered and an	explanation of						
103 in the last action.		·						
before or on the date of filing a I sufficient reasons why the affida								
Notice of Appeal, but prior to the come <u>all</u> rejections under appeand was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a						

## **Advisory Action** 10 Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief E В --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian following time periods: a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_ months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than S Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whi been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statuto above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months af earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in complia of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be f <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a col NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): T 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow the non-allowable claim(s). 7. $\boxtimes$ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) $\square$ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: \_ Claim(s) rejected: 3 and 7 as finally rejected under 102 and Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. Me The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s

Bryon P. Gehman **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 3728

13. Other: \_\_\_

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is noted that Hikita calls the conjoined units of Figures 3 and 6 as a "tape-shaped component cluster" and would distinguish each unit or individual group of units as a "tape member" as it constitutes a part of a tape-shaped structure as defined by Hikita. Accordingly, the term "tape member" is not seen to distinguish from a unit 2 or group of units of Hikita. Applicant's intent to rely on "tape member" to impart special properties not claimed is not seen to distinguish from the prior art "tape-shaped member—. According to applicant's argument, if applicant's entire claim was "A tape member", Hikita would not anticipate. But Hikita does disclose a "tape member" as much as that distinguishes in the field. With respect to the structure defined in the claims as "locking member (attachment)" and "locked member (attachment)", such structure is part of the first connecting portion (12, 12 as a whole) and a second connecting portion (14, 14 as a whole) being formed by coupling a locking member (attachment) (portions of each element 14 engaging 12 and serving as a locking member) to a locked member (attachment) (portions of each element 12 engaging 14 and serving as a locked member). The remaining rejections under the employed combinations will also remain as obvious substitutions of strip conjoining structure.